METHODS AND MATERIALS

FOR STUDYING EARLY ADOPTERS

WHO OWN NONINDUSTRIAL PRIVATE FORESTLAND

Department of Forestry Forest Research Series No. 44 October 1988

Clemson University
College of
Forest and Recreation Resources
Clemson, South Carolina

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT Partial support for this study was provided by the McIntire-Stennis Cooperative Forestry Research Program.

"Clemson University offers equal educational opportunity to all persons

without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, religion, or

handicap."

# METHODS AND MATERIALS

## FOR STUDYING EARLY ADOPTERS

## WHO OWN NONINDUSTRIAL PRIVATE FORESTLAND

Dr. Jacqueline L. Haymond
Assistant Professor
and
Ms. Sara B. Baldwin
Graduate Assistant

Department of Forestry
College of Forest and Recreation Resources
Clemson University
Clemson, SC 29634
(803) 656 4831

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STUDY	METHODS	1
II.		TION AND FORM FOR LISTING EARLY ERS WHO OWN FORESTLAND	4
III.		MATION TO BE PROVIDED TO LANDOWNERS REQUESTING THEIR PARTICIPATION	5
IV.		VIEW FORM FOR USE WITH EARLY ERS WHO OWN NONINDUSTRIAL PRIVATE FLAN	6
	Α.	GENERAL FORESTLAND OWNERSHIP INFORMATION	6
	В.	REASONS FOR OWNING FORESTLAND	. 8
	C.	FOREST PRODUCTS	9
	D.	FOREST ACTIVITIES	10
	E.	SOURCES OF FORESTRY INFORMATION	13
	F.	DETERMINING THE ACCEPTABILITY OF NEW FOREST PRACTICES	14
	G.	EARLY ADOPTER SELF-JUDGMENT TEST	15
	Н.	WILDLIFE APPRECIATION .	18
	I.	PERSONAL, CULTURAL, AND INSTITUTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS	22
v. s	UGGEST	ED READING	26

#### I. STUDY METHODS

# Introduction

This bulletin contains a description of the methods and the interview instrument used to study 64 nonindustrial private forestland owners in eight rural counties of South Carolina. The study sought an in-depth understanding of one type of landowner—early adopters. Early adopters form a key audience because they readily try new ideas, frequently adopt innovations, and have potential for influencing others. Briefly described below are methods used to select counties, identify early adopters, select participants, and conduct personal interviews to collect data. The interview instrument follows. Articles reporting results of the data analysis are listed in the "Suggested Reading" list (Haymond 1986, 1988a, b, and c).

## Selection of counties

Personal interviews were held with the Cooperative Extension Service county agents in each of the 18 Piedmont counties of South Carolina to discuss the proposed research, determine the propensity of the agents for cooperating, and learn their impressions of the likelihood of identifying county opinion leaders (early adopters) who would be cooperative. Because of the desirability of conducting the research in similar counties, sample counties were identified from a composite ranking based on three factors: (1) income from forest products as a percentage of total land-derived income in the county, (2) the percentage of county commercial forestland owned by private forestland owners, and (3) the number of acres of private forestland in each county. The eight counties were selected based on four considerations:

- 1. The counties were among the top ranked according to a composite ranking scheme based on the above factors.
- 2. The percentage of national forestland within the county was low (less than 20% of the total commercial forestland).
- 3. No major urban areas occurred within the county.
- 4. The county agent was willing to cooperate and relatively enthusiastic about the project.

#### Identifying early adopters

Early adopters were identified by requesting lists from three informants in each county who were familiar with the owners of forestland: the County Extension Service agent, the South Carolina Forestry Commission forester, and one other local resource person. The "Definition and Form for Listing Early Adopters Who Own Forestland" provided uniform instructions. A primary concern was to include a broad spectrum of the early adopter population in the study area. The informants were asked to consider all types of people (race, age, sex, income, etc.) who owned some forestland and could be characterized as early adopters. The three independently prepared lists were consolidated in each study county.

# Selection of participants

Early adopters to be interviewed were selected according to the following criteria:

- 1. All early adopters named by more than one of the three informants were asked to participate in the study (except those eliminated by item 2).
- 2. The primary informant (county agent or commission forester) was consulted regarding the likelihood of the nominees to be willing to participate in the study. Professional foresters, landowners employing full-time professional foresters, additional members of the same family owning forestland mutually, and those persons judged as likely to be uncooperative or unknown by the primary informant were deleted from the lists. The remaining names were ranked by the primary informant in each county and participants were chosen in order.
- 3. A minimum of seven and a maximum of 15 early adopters were interviewed in each of the eight counties.

#### Conducting interviews

All participants were interviewed by the principal investigator. Each interview was tape recorded and lasted about two hours. Short answers to specific questions were recorded on forms during the interview. Other responses were transferred from the tape to the questionnaire.

Interviews were held wherever the early adopter chose--in homes, yards, offices, stores, or farm buildings. The need for recording the sessions was explained and in all cases the interviewees agreed. The recorder was placed out of the interviewees' direct line of sight and usually appeared to be forgotten by the time some introductory personal conversation had taken place.

Responses to questions were freely given with only two exceptions. Three respondents did not reveal their income level and some were hesitant to discuss the amount of property they owned.

Most respondents were flattered that they had been included in the study and talked quite openly. Wives often took part in the conversation and in some cases children were present. Refreshments were frequently served. With few exceptions, a relaxed atmosphere prevailed and interruptions were minimal. In those cases where interruptions did occur (e.g., an unexpected visitor in the home, a customer arriving in the store, an employee seeking advice), the respondent was eager to resume the interview and had maintained his level of concentration on the subject being discussed.

# II. DEFINITION AND FORM FOR LISTING EARLY ADOPTERS WHO OWN FORESTLAND

This form was given to local informants—-local Forestry Commission foresters, county Extension Agents and selected local citizens—-to use for nominating early adopters in the first stage of the selection process.

Social scientists have characterized an early adopter as:

- --not the first, but one of the first, to try a new idea.
- --having more education than average, but not the most.
- --a leader in community organizations, but not usually state or national ones.
- --respected by neighbors as a "good manager" of his land.
- --owning a moderate area of farm or forestland but not the largest amount.
- --getting most of his information about new farm or forestry practices from county agents, extension bulletins, newspapers, and magazines.
- --progressive in his thinking but not radically different from most other people.

In your opinion, which forestland owners in your community serve as model farmers or forest managers who may be imitated by others within the community? Some descriptive phrases which might apply are given above. Please name as many as you can think of in your community or county. Do not exclude yourself.

•

# III. INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED TO LANDOWNERS WHEN REQUESTING THEIR PARTICIPATION

The local informants and principal investigator gave this information to landowners when requesting their participation in the study.

#### Reasons for the study

This study is being done to gain a better understanding of private forestland owners. Wood, as well as quality water, wildlife, aesthetics, and recreation are all benefits from owning private forestland. What we learn from this study will give foresters a better understanding of the kinds of information forestland owners would like to have.

#### Sponsor

The Department of Forestry, Clemson University, is sponsoring this study. Jacqueline L. Haymond, Instructor, is the principal investigator.

#### Methods

You will be personally interviewed by the principal investigator, Jackie Haymond. In order to save time, the interview will be tape-recorded, with your permission. Some answers will be written. Your name will not appear on the tapes or the written forms. A code number will be used. The code numbers will not be available to anyone except the principal investigator. Materials will never be identified by name without your written permission.

#### Subject matter

We will talk about why you own forestland and how you use it, your thoughts about trying new ideas for managing your forestland, where you learn about managing your land, your ideas about wildlife and other benefits of owning forestland, and some personal information.

#### Your answers

You may refuse to answer a question if you wish: But, I am interviewing only a few people and the results of the study will be much more meaningful if all the questions are answered.

We know that your time is valuable and we appreciate your willingness to participate in this study. Your ideas are very important if we are to do a good job of providing the kind of forest management information you and other landowners want.

Thank you for agreeing to help us.

# IV. INTERVIEW FORM FOR USE WITH EARLY ADOPTERS WHO OWN NONINDUSTRIAL PRIVATE FOREST LAND

The principal investigator used this form to guide the conversational interview. Although the interviews were taperecorded, many responses were recorded on this form.

#### A. GENERAL FORESTLAND OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

The following questions determined forest characteristics and general thoughts on management.

Rural prop. No. acres	Location	Forestland No. acres	Forest type No. acres	Yr.ac- quired	Yr. of last sale
			planted pine		
			natural pine		
			hardwoods		_
			mixed p-hwd		

- 1. Do you live on or near your forestland?
- 2. How long have you lived there?
- 3. How long have you been managing your forestland?
- 4. How did you acquire your forestland?
- 5. Would you like to have more of the land you now own in forest?
- 6. Would you rather have more in pines, hardwoods, or a mixture of pines and hardwoods?
- 7. When you bought forestland, did you make a sale within 10 years?
- 8. Have you bought forestland without expecting income from the trees: that is, just because you wanted the land? If so, why did you buy it?
- 9. What have you used money from timber sales for in the past?
- 10. What do you plan to use future income from your forestland for?
- 11. Who is an opinion leader in your community?

(A...cont.)

- 12. Who do you think is doing a good job managing his forest-land?
- 13. Would you ask his advice about your own forest?
- 14. Have you tried a forest practice on your land that you saw on his?
- 15. Would you say:

	m gla restl			idn't	invest	mone	ey in	improv	ing my	
					ted sor	ne mo	oney o	or more	money	in
Ι	think	I	've	spent	about	the	right	amoun	t.	

- 16. Can you think of some reasons for cutting trees other than selling them? What reasons?
- 17. Do you hunt? Why? Where? What kind of animals?
- 18. Who in your community has a good place to hunt?
- 19. Would you ask his advice before trying to improve your forest for wildlife?
- 20. Who else would you ask?
- 21. Have you ever leased hunting or other rights on your land? Would you do it again? Why, or why not?
- 22. Have you ever posted "No Hunting" or "No Trespassing" signs on your forestland? Why, or why not? If yes, did they work?

# B. REASONS FOR OWNING FORESTLAND

The interviewer read the following statements to the participant who indicated whether or not they applied to him.

	that apply.)
	It is beautiful.
	I'm proud of it.
	Land means wealth.
	I can use it to borrow money from the bank.
	Hunting and/or fishing are my hobbies, and I like to do it on my own land.
	It protects the environment (erosion, streambanks, etc.).
-	I just like to walk around in the woods once in a while.
	Owning forestland is like having money in the bank.
	Trees are the only thing that will grow there.
	I can't make any money farming that land any more.
	I'm saving it for my children.
	I needed to invest some money and forestland is a good investment.
-	It's a good tax shelter.
	I am speculating that forestland value will increase in years to come.
	Birds and animals live in my forest.
	My family has always owned it.
	We cut firewood from our forest.
	My wife will need the income after I'm gone.
	Income from timber sales will help finance my retirement.
	Within the next 10 years, I plan to start a new business with the money from a timber sale.
	I'd like to expand my present business.
	It is satisfying just to own it

(B...cont.)

My other income is just not enough to maintain our standard of living.									
I use it for my own personal recreation.									
We live on the property and the woods help make it a nice place to live.									
Timber production is my main goal.	_ Timber production is my main goal.								
Wildlife is important to us.	_ Wildlife is important to us.								
<ol><li>Now, please tell me the most important reason or reason you like to own forestland.</li></ol>	S								
C. FOREST PRODUCTS									
The interviewer asked the respondent about specific products received from the forestland with the following question, and recorded the answers below.									
<ol> <li>Now I would like to ask you about some of the products may have come from your forestland:</li> </ol>	that								
Pulpwood: pine hardwood									
Firewood: sale personal use									
Sawtimber: pine hardwood									
sale personal use									
Christmas trees: sale personal use									
Fenceposts: (yes/no) species:									
Game birds or animals:									
turkey rabbits coon									
fish quail other									
Honeybees: (yes/no)									

# D. FOREST ACTIVITIES

The following questions assessed recreational use and the adoption of forest management practices.

1. Some thi	ngs which have been done on my forestland are:
***************************************	wildlife food plots have been planted
	fire lines have been plowed -
Topic and Assistance	a management plan has been written by a forester
	firewood has been cut to improve the remaining stand of trees
	firewood has been cut without considering the remaining trees
	controlled burning
2. We have	also:
-	removed trash
-	had a picnic
	swam in the creek or pond
	cut our own Christmas tree
	cut trees or boards for our use
	built or improved roads
	built bridges
	cleared trails for walking or riding
	posted "No Trespassing" or "No Hunting" signs
	cut out vines, briars, and bushes for better access
	personally done some of the work

(D...cont.)

3.	When I sold timber off my land, I insisted:
	on having more than one bid for the wood before selling
	on leaving trees 6" in diameter and smaller, to replace the cut trees
	that no pine trees would be cut that were not big enough for sawtimber
	that the logger remove cull trees
	that, after a clearcut, the remaining trash trees would be removed to encourage good natural regeneration
4.	Pines have been planted on my land to:
	stop or prevent erosion
	replace harvested pines
	replace pasture
	replace "green junk" hardwoods
	replace uneconomical farmland
	improve my hardwood or mixed pine- hardwood forestland
5.	Site preparation has been done on my land: (circle treatment type)
	prior to harvest (chemical, mechanical, burning)
	following harvest (chemical, mechanical, burning)
6.	Cruising timber was done on my land:
	for inventory information only, not sale
	just prior to sale by someone other than buyer
	just prior to sale by buyer
	other:

(D...cont.)

7.	Trees ha	ave been marked on my land to be:
	-	left for wildlife dens, nesting, or roosting
	-	harvested
	-	left for seed trees
		left to provide acorns for wildlife food
		cut for firewood
		used to mark boundary lines
		other .
8.	My pine	stands have been thinned:
	-	precommercially, when it cost me money
	************	commercially, when I made money
		prior to a seed tree cut to improve seed production
		while doing improvement cuts
9.	Herbici	des have been used on my forestland:
		aerially applied
	1	ground applied
		for releasing pines from overtopping hardwood trees or brush
		for site preparation prior to planting
	-	for controlling undesirable vegetation
		other:
10.	-	ove my forestland, timber stand improvement has been circle stand types)
		<pre>without income from pulpwood or firewood (pines, hardwoods, mixed stands)</pre>
		with income from pulpwood or firewood (pines, hardwoods, mixed stands)
	***************************************	while cutting firewood for personal use (pines, hardwoods, mixed stands)

#### E. SOURCES OF FORESTRY INFORMATION

Participants were asked to indicate which information sources they used, then to rate the quality of the sources that they used, and finally to indicate the best of the good information sources. Answers were recorded on the form below.

Source (check columns)	No.	tin	nes used (1-2)	d (≥3)	Evalua Good	tion Med.	Poor
•							-
Newspapers (general)		_	•				
Forestry magazines							
Farm magazines							
Extension bulletins							
Forestry organizations							
Radio							
Television				Company to the second distance			
Banks/credit institutions							
Logger/Buyer							
Paid consultant							
County forester							
Industry forester							
Clemson professors					•		
County agent		200001230					
SCS or ASCS							
Friends or neighbors							
Other forest landowners							
Family traditions							
Other							

## F. DETERMINING THE ACCEPTABILITY OF NEW FOREST PRACTICES

The following questions sought insight into the factors participants considered when making adoption decisions.

1. When you consider trying any new forestry activity on your

it wi wo	and, you will probably think of many things before you try to How much does it cost?Will it be worth it?How all it look?Can I get someone to do the work?etc. I buld like to talk about some of them with you and get your deas. Please rank the following factors as "important," somewhat important," or "not important" to you:
	How much the practice will cost.
	When it needs to be done, money is available from a recent timber sale.
	In less than 10 years you can expect some financial return.
	Government cost-sharing of funds may be available.
	The value of your land will be increased.
Name of Street Colors of the Act of Street	_ Some tax relief is gained.
	_ It makes your forestland look better.
	_ The wildlife environment will be better.
-	_ It will improve recreation in your forest.
-	You can supervise or do the work yourself.
	_ Equipment is available for your use.
	Private contractors are available to do the work.
	Professional advice is available.
	Private consultants are available to do the work.
	County foresters paid by the state can do the work.
Section	Foresters employed by the local paper company will do the work.
	Your neighbors use this new practice.
	Your father or other family members did this on their land.
	You have seen someone else try it recently.

(F...cont.)

You have tried it on your own land before.
Your children will learn from it.
<ol> <li>Please rank the following five factors from the highest</li> <li>to the lowest (5) in importance when considering a new forestry activity.</li> </ol>
increased timber growth in your forest
how much your forest will be improved for other uses
the cost
a quick return on your investment
how easily it can be done
G. EARLY ADOPTER SELF-JUDGMENT TEST
The following questions determined if the participants could be categorized "early adopters" and served as a cross-reference to the selection procedure.
There are no right or wrong answers to the following questions.
1. After hearing of a completely new idea, I am usually:
the first to try it
among the first to try it .
will try it about the same time most other people do
will try it after many other people do
will try it after most other people do

(G...cont.)

2.	In additusually:	tion, after hearing of a completely new idea, I am
		eager to try it as a scientific investigation
		interested in trying it as soon as I have observed a successful demonstration
		conservative in my approach
		skeptical and probably won't try it
		very cautious and unlikely to try it
š.	Compared is:	d to my friends and neighbors, my level of education
		very high
		above average
		average
		slightly below average
		lower than most
4.	My part	icipation in organizations has included:
		officer/committee member in state or national groups involving travel
		officer/committee member in community groups
		member of many community groups
		going to meetings in the community once in a while
		no groups other than church-related
		other:

(G...cont.)

5.	My fami	ly is economically and socially:
		better off than almost anybody else's in our area
		better off than most families in our area
		about the same level as most
		not quite so well off as most
		doing the best we can with what we have
6.	Our rur	al property acreage (farm and forestland) is:
		the largest in our community
		among the largest
		about the same size as most
		slightly smaller than others
		much smaller than others
7.		e information about using new ideas in managing our roperty comes primarily from:
		Clemson professors or other scientific sources
		county agents and Extension bulletins
		magazines, friends and neighbors
		friends and neighbors
		friends, neighbors and radio farm shows
		other:

# H. WILDLIFE APPRECIATION

The following questions were used to gather information about a variety of wildlife appreciation and management activities.

1.		ings we have done to enjoy wild plants and animals on our operty include:
		appreciated autumn leaf colors
		hunted game animals
		always prevented hunting
		prevented hunting of certain animals
		What kind(s)
		photographed wildlife
		What kind(s)
		looked at birds and animals
		observed flowers
		watched for young birds or animals to be born
		watched migratory birds arriving or leaving
		cared for an injured bird or animal
		took a walk to look for signs of wild animals.
-		picked wildflowers, berries, or medicinal plants
	*****************	followed tracks in hopes of seeing a wild animal
		provided food for wild animals
-		provided houses for wild animals
0		provided birdbaths
-		read about wildlife
		watched wildlife television shows
		cut trees in a manner specifically designed to enhance wildlife, such as leaving snags
		cleared out trees and brush to provide natural feeding areas
		cultivated and planted openings

(H...cont.)

		Very	Somewhat N	Not ve
food	d (animals)			
foo	d (plants)			
clo	thing (animals)			
med	icinal purposes			
	ervation and appreciation of mals			
obs pla	ervation and appreciation of nts			
a b	etter quality of life			
	reasing the monetary value of land			
hun	ting			
pho	tography			
pre	serving a way of life			
	viding a better environment for ure generations			
oth	er:		-	

(H...cont.)

. I have gotten information about w. (Check all sources used)	ildlife from these sources
(circuit all boalees asea)	Evaluation:
	Good Medium Poor
on my own, just being out-of-doors	
relatives	
friends and neighbors	
magazines and newspapers	
Which ones?	
books	
television	
when I attended school	-
when I was a scout	
when I was in 4-H	
wildlife organizations and clubs	
Extension Service bulletins	
county agents	
SCS/ASCS	
SC Wildlife and Marine Resources Department	
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	
other:	

<sup>5.</sup> Please rank the "good" information sources (above) beginning with the number "1" for the most important.

6.	On your fores	tland:				
	1	Have been seen or heard		Have been hunted by Fam. Frds. Cust.	Recently have seen	I'd like to see More Fewer
		neard	(dilve)	rain. 1103, cust.	More rewer	HOTE TEWEL
	bats		·			
	beaver					
	bobcats					
	butterflies					
	crows					
	deer					
	doves					
	ducks					
	fish					
	foxes					
	geese					
	hawks					
	honeybees					
	hornets					_
	oppossum					
	owls			4		
	quail					
	rabbits					
	raccoons					
	skunks					
	songbirds		***			
	squirrels			<u>-</u>		
	turkeys					
	woodpeckers					
	yellow-jackets	S				

I. NIPF E	PERSONAL, CULTURAL, AND INSTITUTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS
	owing questions assessed landowner characteristics for on purposes.
1.	Your age:<4050-60>60
2.	Gender:F
3.	Ethnic background:Scotch-IrishGerman
	DutchBritishOther
4.	Childhood home:FarmTown (<10,000)City
	What state:
5.	Religious affiliation:
6.	Political orientation:ConservativeLiberal
	Middle-of-the-road
7.	Occupation:ProfessionalAcademic
	GovernmentBusinessSelf-employed
	Housewife Farmer Retired (if retired, indicate previous occupation and retired)
8.	Marital status:SingleMarried
9.	Number of children:BoysGirls
10.	Social and economic status:
	Lower class
	Middle class (lower,middle, orupper middle)
	Upper class
11.	Education:Number of years
	High school diplomaCollege degree
	Other: (such as night school, correspondence courses, and special interest skills)

13.	Music:
	Favorite kind(s)
	<pre>Instrument(s) played</pre>
14.	Books:
	Currently reading
	Just read
	Plan to read
15.	Magazines:
	Read regularly
16.	Radio:
	Kinds of programs
17.	Television:
	Favorite programs
18.	Group membership:
	Social groups (church, bridge, dance, scouts, etc.)
	•
	Hobby and special interest (Ducks Unlimited, Audubon, etc.
	Civic and government (Lions, Rotary, city council, etc.)

(I...cont.)

	Professional organizations (your profession)
	Farm organizations
	Forestry organizations
L9.	Participation in organizations:
	Local levelState levelNational levelOfficer or committee member
20.	Leisure time activities:  Favorite pastime(s)
21.	When did you take your last vacation?date
22.	What kind of vacation was it?BeachMountainsCampingHuntingFishingTravelStayed home
23.	What kind of vacation would you prefer?
24.	Would you prefer more leisure time even if it meant less income?
	YesNo

(I...cont.)

25.	In which range does your income fall? (A card listing five categories was handed to the participant who responded with the letter corresponding to his income level)
	A<20,000
	B20,000-30,000
	C30,000-40,000
	D40,000-50,000
	E>50,000
26.	Could your family get by on less income?
	Yes No

#### V. SUGGESTED READING

- Bliss, John C. 1988. Identity and private forest management.

  Paper presented at The Second Symp. on Social Science in

  Resource Management. June 6-9. Urbana-Champaign.
- Doolittle, L. and T. J. Straka. 1987. Regeneration following harvest on nonindustrial private pine sites in the South: a diffusion of innovations perspective. South. J. Appl. For. 11(1):37-41.
- Haymond, J. L. 1986. Diffusion of innovations: a sociological approach to the nonindustrial private forests challenge. p. 115-119 in Proc. Natl. Symp. on Current Topics in Forest Research: Emphasis on Women Scientists. Nov. 4-6. Gainesville, FL.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1988a. Adoption of silvicultural practices by opinion leaders who own nonindustrial private forestland. South. J. Appl. For. 12(1):20-23.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1988b. NIPF opinion leaders: what do they want? J. For. 86(4):30-31,34-35.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1988c. Wildlife attitudes of early adopters who own forestland. Paper presented at the Second Symp. on Social Science in Resource Management. June 6-9. Urbana-Champaign.
- Lionberger, H. F. 1960. Adoption of New Ideas and Practices. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. 164 p.
- McConnell, Douglass W. II. 1988. Controlled burning use by Alabama private, non-industrial forest owners: a study of diffusion and adoption. Paper presented at The Second Symp. on Social Science in Resource Management. June 6-9. Urbana-Champaign.
- Muth, R. M. and J. C. Hendee. 1980. Technology transfer and human behavior. J. For. 78(3):141-144.
- Rogers, E. M. 1983. Diffusion of Innovations. Ed. 3. The Free Press, New York. 453 p.

DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY

MICHAEL A. TARAS, HEAD

COLLEGE OF FOREST AND RECREATION RESOURCES

BENTON H. BOX, DEAN

